STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Board Meeting July 23-24, 2002 Agenda Item Attachment 1

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 | Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Jurisdiction Name		County					
City of Sacramento		Sacramento					
Authorized Signature Authorized Signature Authorized Signature		Title Waste Reduction Coordinator II					
Type/Print Name of Person Signing	-	Date	٠.	Phone			
Jon D. Souza		February 6,2002		((916) 433-4937)			
Person Completing This Form (pleas	e print or typ	De)	Title				
Jon Souza		Waste Reduction Coordinator II					
-							
Phone		E-mail Address		Fax			
((916) 433-4937)	-	jsouza@cityofsacramento.org		((916) 433-4999)			
Mailing Address	City		State	tate ZIP Code			
2812 Meadowview Road	Sacramento	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	California		95832		

Please specify the request desired.		Attachment
1. Eligibility Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)? No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR. Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR. Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR. Time Extension Request desired. Specific Request and Length of Request Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002	Section II—Cover Sheet	
Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)? No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR. Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR. Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR. Time Extension Request Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002	This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extens Requirement (ADR) requested.	ion (TE) or Alternative Diversion
Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR. 2. Specific Request and Lerigth of Request Please specify the request desired. ☐ Time Extension Request Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002 Is this a second request? ☐ No ☐ Yes Specific years requested (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.) ☐ Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies). Specific ADR requested	Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (n	
2. Specific Request and Length of Request Please specify the request desired. ☑ Time Extension Request Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002 Is this a second request? ☑ No ☐ Yes Specific years requested (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.) ☐ Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies). Specific ADR requested	No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.	
Please specify the request desired. Time Extension Request Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002 Is this a second request? No Yes Specific years requested. (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.) Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies). Specific ADR requested	Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.	는 TLL() 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :
Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002	2. Specific Request and Length of Request	2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002 Yes Specific years requested (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.) Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies). Specific ADR requested	Please specify the request desired.	en e
Is this a second request? No Yes Specific years requested. (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.) Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies). Specific ADR requested		
(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.) Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies). Specific ADR requested	Specific years requested _2 years; 2001-2002	<u> </u>
Specific ADR requested	(Note: Requests for an additional extension will no	eed to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to
Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested	☐ Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allo	wed for Regional Agencies).
years	·	pars
January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend	Specific ADR requested	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific Alyears(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to	address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet
	Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific All years(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not su. Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend circumstances but the total number of years for all requests care.	o address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet uccessful.) , but will only be effective in the years from a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to d the original request or be based on new

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

- 1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.
- The City needs additional time to fully implement several significant waste diversion programs and to correct disposal and diversion measurement challenges. The City's 2000 diversion rate is calculated at 45%. In 1999, we were at 42% while in 1998 the diversion rate was 47%. The variability in the City's diversion rate reflects inaccuracies in tonnage allocated to the City through the Disposal Reporting System. The City was clearly misallocated waste in both 1999 and 2000 and submitted disposal modification requests to adjust the allocated tonnage amounts. The City continues to expand its diversion programs while its calculated diversion numbers continue to decline. It is possible that inaccuracies with assigned disposal tonnage or "host jurisdiction" problems could be attributed to this.
- Additionally, 1999 saw a major shift in the commercial waste allocated to the City of Sacramento with the opening of two privately owned and operated transfer stations. However, there was no corrresponding shift in the commercial market for the City. Also, there may be over 100,000 people who have Sacramento addresses but do not reside in the city limits. Many residents who live in the suburbs such as Carmichael, Fair Oaks, etc. continue to identify Sacramento as their provider of service. This is further demonstrated by the thousands of nonresidents who contact our customer service representatives yearly regarding service issues. This may also carry over to the County of Sacramento's surveys of permitted haulers. The City is working to improve these disposal allocation challenges.
- The City has diligently implemented diversion programs to attack its waste stream and will continue to do so into the future. In fact, the City is requesting additional time to fully implement and evaluate the effectiveness of serveral significant diversion programs. The City is requesting a time extension until December 31, 2002 to allow for those programs to achieve maximum efficiency.
- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.
- The year 2001 has come and gone so we cannot make headway there. We have improved our curbside recycling and multiple family programs but we will need the year of 2002 to have a full years data. In 2001, we began implementing a single stream curbside program. This single stream recycling program offers a 96 gallon recycling cart serviced every other week. This is a significant volume increase that was not available in the three bin system that offered 40 gallons of capacity. The program was completely implemented by the end of the year. Projections show our curbside recycling tonnage could go from 12-13,000 tons to 25-30,000 tons per year.
- During 2002, the County of Sacramento Solid Waste Authority is giving final notice to apartment complexes that they are required to offer recycling programs for tenants equal to 30 % of the current waste removal service. This comes on the heels of a multi-family ordinance adopted in the Spring of 2000 requiriing recycling at all multiple family complexes in the City.
- A time extension until December 31, 2002 should allow the City to fully evaluate the effectiveness of these programs and to see how diversion rates are impacted. This time will also allow the City to develop solutions to improve disposal and diversion measurement.
- 3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The City has implemented a menu of programs to divert materials from the residential (both single-family and multiple family), commercial and industrial sectors. Of the 29 programs identified for implementation in the City's SRRE, four of the selected programs have been modified to achieve the same results and two of the alternatives are no longer applicable due to changing conditions. (See 1996 Annual Report for details).

The residential sector is addressed through a newly implemented single stream recycling program and an unlimited volume curbside greenwaste collection program. In fact, our green waste program has been the City's single, largest diversion program. We currently collect 80,000 tons of greenwaste each year. The multi-family recycling ordinance requires all complexes in the County to provide recycling service to tenants.

The commercial and industrial sectors are addressed through the Sacramento County Solid Waste Authority Ordinance Number Two, adopted in 1996, which essentially requires permitted solid waste management firms to 30% diversion of the commercial solid waste collected and removed within the County. This diversion requirement is a condition of the permit granted to the hauler.

We are proud of the array of diversion programs that have been put in place. We have seen other jurisdictions do much less while claiming higher diversion benefits. In the end, how you count the beans should be less important in assessing AB 939 compliance than performing programs and having them hold up to assessment as to quality and quantity.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

The City began implementation of a single container (commingled) curbside recycling program in February 2001. Without full implementation of the program, our tonnage increased from 12,686 tons in 2000 to 19,620 tons in 2001.

A new ordinance requiring recycling in apartments went into effect July 2001. Without a full year in place, our commercial tonnage recycled has grown from 7,767 tons in 2000 to 8,115 tons in 2001.

The City is also considering establishing a new base year using the diversion method of accounting.

The City has a wide variety of diversion programs and continues to expand them.

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that

were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faeffort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation. Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).	aith
1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE select programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate they will be overcome.	ted how
2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension	?
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.	
4 Describe any valous of all and the last of the last	
4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Providany relevant information that supports the request.	je
	•

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Residential %			50.3	Non-res	idential %		49.7	
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND	1	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM FUNDING SOURCE				FULLY LETED	ESTIMATE PERCENT DIVERSION
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm								
Residential Curbside Recycling	Expand	Going from a 3-bin (40 gallon capacity) system to a single (96 gallon) commingled container program for all single family residents(includes duplexes, triplexes and quadplexes); 107,000 accounts.			Resident rates	Implemented by December 2001. Full year of new tonnage by December 2002		2%
Commercial Recycling	Expand	Multiple recycling	Family Recycling; compl services to their tenants	Service fees.	July 2002 On going		3%	
1.1414444444444444444444444444444444444								-
***	Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					ns	5%	
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					45%			
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					50%			
	PRO	GRAMS	SUPPORTING	DIVERSION AC	CTIVITIES			
PROGRAM TYPE NEW or EXPANDED				DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM				TE FULLY MPLETED
Outreach Expanded			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				er 2001 and or h outreach.	

Enforcement	New	Letters mailed to multiple family compexes indicating new ordinance and compliance with same. Units are directed to contact waste service provider to arrange for recycling for tenants.	On going.		

Section IV B—GOA	L ACHIE	VEMENT	es the jurisdiction will	use to achiev	e the ADR				
Attach additional sh	eets if nec	essary				4.0			
				Non-resid	ential 9/				
Reside	Residential %			Non-resid	enuai %				
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND	DĖ	SCRIPTION OF PROGRAM		UNDING OURCE		FULLY LETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION	
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG Central/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm									
		Total E	stimated Diversion Percent	From New and/o	r Expanded l	Program	S		
		·	Current Diversion Rate Per	cent From Latest	Annual Repo	ort			
			Total Planned Dive	rsion Percent Est	imated				
	PF	ROGRAMS	SUPPORTING DIV	ERSION AC	TIVITIES	3			
PROGRAM TYF	PE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM			DATE FULLY COMPLETED			
								- 1	
	,								

Section V - PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.

-. •